



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/673,398 | 09/30/2003 | Si-Hyun Song | 041993-5353 | 3749 | | |
| 9629 7. | 9629 7590 09/21/2005 EXAMINER | | | | | |
| | EWIS & BOCKIUS I | ADAMS, GREGORY W | | | | |
| | LVANIA AVENUE N N, DC 20004 | W | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | | | 3652 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/673,398 | SONG, SI-HYUN | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Gregory W. Adams | 3652 | |

| | Gregory W. Adams | 3652 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the same of the contract of the same of | Appeal. To avoid aba îdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be | filed within two month | as of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | e appeal. Since |
| | but prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be optored b | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further conto They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will be will be entered. | II be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a North day the affiday | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. |
| 11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s). | es: |
| • | , | EILEEN D. | LILLIS |

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3800

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The change from slots to support bars presents a new issue not previously considered. As noted in Finall Office Action date June 2, 2005 taken alone it was unclear how a slot could protrude. While no longer indefinite, the modification from slot to support member presents new issues not considered in the initial search pursuant to the non-final and final office actions.